EXCHANGE RATES AND MONETARY POLICY WITH HETEROGENEOUS AGENTS: SIZING UP THE REAL INCOME CHANNEL

Auclert, Rognlie, Souchier and Straub

Discussion by Laura Castillo-Martínez Duke University

Sixth Annual Conference on International Economics FRB Dallas - University of Houston - Banco de México

8th Oct 2021

The Big Picture

LITERATURE & AUTHORS' RESEARCH AGENDA

Is a RER depreciation (always) expansionary?

 Empirical identification is tricky but many devaluation episodes suggest it's painful.

- Theoretical consensus: depreciations stimulate output through an expenditure switching effect.
- A few papers consider alternative mechanisms such as
 - * Currency composition of debt
 - * Real income channel.
- This paper revisits the latter in the context of the HANK literature: international Keynesian cross.
- Important implications for optimal monetary policy

Key Mechanism

In the standard small open economy New Keynesian framework

$$Y_t = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_t}\right)^{-\eta} C_t + \alpha \left(\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_{F,t}}\right)^{-\gamma} C^*$$

Following a RER depreciation, $Q_t \uparrow$:

▶ $\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_t}$ and $\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_{F,t}} \downarrow$ implying $Y_t \uparrow$. This is **expenditure switching**.

Consumption is unchanged.

NEW: (i) imperfect international risk sharing and (ii) heterogeneous agents $\Rightarrow C_t = C_t \left(\left\{ \frac{P_{H,s}}{P_s} Y_s \right\}_{s=0}^{\infty} \right).$

• $\frac{P_{H,t}}{P_t} \downarrow$ implying $C_t \downarrow$. This is the **real income channel**.

▶ **Multiplier effect** through *C*_t.

MODEL IMPLICATIONS

In the analytical model, the trade elasticity, χ , is key:

- If $\chi = 1$, solution coincides with Gali and Monacelli 2005.
- If $\chi < 1$, RER depreciations are contractionary.

Add these channels to NK monetary policy transmission mechanism.

- Neutrality requires $\chi = 1 + home bias$.
- $\chi < 1 + home bias$ weakens monetary policy.

Rest of the paper: go quantitative.

- Make χ dynamic through a delayed import substitution model.
- Understand when is the real income channel important.
- Explore multiple extensions.

Some Remarks

Great paper: relevant question, elegant framework & important policy implications.

Summary of my comments

- 1. Contribution of the paper is quantitative.
 - Quantification exercise is incomplete.
- 2. The nature of the shock matters.
 - Authors could capture and quantify this.
- 3. Towards optimal monetary policy
- 4. Other minor suggestions.

Contribution Is Quantitative

REVISITING THE BACKUS-SMITH PUZZLE

One possibility would be to admit demand side shocks in addition to the endowment shocks [...]. Other possibilities include (i) wealth effects, (ii) measurement error, and (iii) incomplete markets.

Backus and Smith (1993)

- Incomplete markets by themselves do not solve the puzzle (Cole and Obstfeld 1991, Baxter and Crucini 1995).
- Corsetti, Dedola and Leduc (2008): unless endogenous wealth effects are strong enough.
 - * Requires low elasticity of substitution (or persistent shocks).
- This paper amplifies the endogenous wealth effect.

How much do the odds of a contractionary depreciation increase? HA versus incomplete-RA

NATURE OF THE SHOCK

- Asymmetric demand shocks can break the positive relationship between the real exchange rate and relative consumption.
 - * Shown by literature even under complete markets.
- ▶ In this model (with log utility): $\frac{Q_t}{B_t} = \frac{C_t}{C^*}$.
 - * Monetary policy is set such that $Q_t = B_t$.
 - * But, it's more likely that $\Delta Q_t < \Delta B_t$.
- Taylor rule extension goes in this direction; but limited to extending neutrality result.

Do demand shocks generate contractionary depreciations? How much do the odds of a contractionary depreciation increase? HA versus demand shock.

Optimal Monetary Policy

- Understanding under which conditions depreciations are contractionary is key for monetary policy design.
- The study of optimal monetary policy is unfortunately out of reach at this stage.
- In the meantime, worth exploring:
 - * Choice of rules: CPI-based Taylor rule, fixed exchange rate.
 - * Role of net foreign asset position: non-zero steady state.
 - * Other shocks, ZLB and DCP.

OTHER MINOR SUGGESTIONS AND CONCERNS

- Most papers feature some kind of trade costs (Corsetti, Dedola and Leduc (2008) feature a distribution sector).
 The extension that is missing!
- Non-homothetic preferences already break the Backus-Smith puzzle. Including these in the quantitative model masks the effect of heterogenous agents.
- Footnote 21 should read: Consumption comoves *positively* with real exchange rates.

SUMMING UP

- Accounting for heterogenous agents in the NK model increases the chances of contractionary depreciations.
- Ideally, we would like to learn exactly by how much!
- Looking forward to optimal monetary policy.